1	EILEEN M. DECKER
2	United States Attorney LAWRENCE MIDDLETON JS-6
3	Assistant United States Attorney
4	Chief, Criminal Division STEVEN R. WELK
_	Assistant United States Attorney
5	Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section
6	JONATHAN GALATZAN Assistant United States Attorney
7	Asset Forfeiture Section
8	California Bar No. 190414
9	Federal Courthouse, 14th Floor 312 North Spring Street
9	Los Angeles, California 90012
10	Telephone: (213) 894-2727
11	Facsimile: (213) 894-7177 E-mail: Jonathan.Galatzan@usdoj.gov
12	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16	WEIGHERN DIVIGION
17	WESTERN DIVISION
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) NO. CV 15-01761 MWF (E)
19	Plaintiff,
20	VS. CONSENT JUDGMENT OF FORFEITURE
21	\$467,810.00 IN U.S. CURRENCY,
22	ET AL.
23	Defendant.
)
24	
25	
26	Plaintiff and Claimants Lee Ai Hinn and Howard Tan
27	("Claimants") have made a stipulated request for the entry of
28	this Consent Judgment, resolving this action in its entirety.

The defendant assets were seized from Claimants, and they assert an interest in the defendant assets and have filed claims in this case and have answered the complaint. No other claims or answers were filed, and the time for filing claims and answers has expired.

The Court, having considered the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefor, HEREBY ORDERS ADJUDGES AND DECREES:

- 1. The government has given and published notice of this action as required by law, including Rule G of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime Claims and Asset Forfeiture Actions, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court. Claimants have filed claims and answers to contest the forfeiture of the defendant assets. No other claims were filed, and the time for filing claims and answers has expired. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this judgment and the defendant assets. Any potential claimants to the defendant assets other than Claimants are deemed to have admitted the allegations of the complaint with respect to the defendant assets.
- 2. The following defendant assets shall be returned to Claimants as follows:
 - a. \$6,426.00 in U.S. currency, seized on July 28,2014, without interest, shall be returned toclaimant Lee Ai Hinn; and
 - \$162,727.50 in U.S. currency, seized on July 28,2014, without interest, shall be returned toclaimant Howard Tan.

The United States shall return the above-listed assets in Paragraph 2 not later than 45 days after (a) the court enters this Consent Judgment and (b) Claimants provide to the government the bank routing and personal identifiers needed to effect a wire transfer of any returned funds, whichever is later.

- 3. The following assets shall be forfeited to the United States, and no other right, title or interest shall exist therein. The Government shall dispose of the following according to law:
- a. \$333,774.50 in U.S. currency seized on July 28, 2014 and July 9, 2015.
- 4. Claimants have agreed to release the United States of America, its agencies, agents, and officers, including employees and agents of the Drug Enforcement Administration, as well as all agents, officers, employees and representatives of any state or local government or law enforcement agency involved in the investigation or prosecution of this matter, from any and all claims, actions or liabilities arising out of or related to the seizure and retention of the defendant assets and/or the commencement of this civil forfeiture action, including, without limitation, any claim for attorneys' fees, costs or interest which may be asserted on behalf of Claimants against the United States, whether pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 or otherwise. Claimants have waived any rights they may have to seek remission or mitigation of the forfeiture.
- 5. The court finds that there was reasonable cause for the seizure of the defendant assets and the institution of this

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

action as to the defendant assets. This judgment constitutes a certificate of reasonable cause pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2465 as to the defendant assets. Each of the parties shall bear its own fees and costs in connection with the seizure, retention and return of the defendant assets. DATED: November 7, 2016 THE HONORABLE MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Prepared by: EILEEN M. DECKER United States Attorney LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division STEVEN R. WELK Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section /s/ Jonathan Galatzan JONATHAN GALATZAN Assistant United States Attorney Asset Forfeiture Section